

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,176	10/30/2001	Joseph A. Winkler III	1036 EXAMINER	
75	590 10/06/2003			
William W. Stagg			LE, HOA VAN	
Attorney-at-Law Durio, McGoffin & Stagg			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 51308			1752	
Lafayette, LA 70505			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				l.
	Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		16,176	WINKLER, JOS	EPH A.
		iner	Art Unit	
	Hoa V		1752	
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears or	ı the cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t - If the period for reply specified above is less tha - If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma: - Failure to reply within the set or extended period - Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In a his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply within the ximum statutory period will apply a l for reply will, by statute, cause the months after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may a e statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC e application to become A	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered tim DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nety. .communication.
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	2b)☐ This actio	n is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with th Disposition of Claims				the merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending	in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from	n consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed	I.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected	d.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objecte	d to.			
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> are subject to r	estriction and/or electior	ı requirement.		
Application Papers				
9) ☐ The specification is objected to	b by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on	is/are: a)☐ accepted or I	ɔ)☐ objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that				
11)☐ The proposed drawing correct			disapproved by the Exam	iner.
If approved, corrected drawings	, , , ,			
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is obje	-	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 1				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	•	y under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ No	ne of:			
1. Certified copies of the p	oriority documents have	been received.		
2. Certified copies of the p	priority documents have	been received in	Application No	
3. Copies of the certified of application from the *See the attached detailed Office	e International Bureau (F	PCT Rule 17.2(a))) .	al Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for domestic priori	ty under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e) (to a provision	nal application).
a) The translation of the fore		• •		
Attachment(s)	•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-			w Summary (PTO-413) Paper Nof Informal Patent Application (F	
S. Patent and Trademark Office				

Application/Control Number: 10/016,176

Art Unit: 1752

This application is before the examiner for consideration.

- A. In view of the complexity of the claims as set up this Office action is made.
- B. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Two sets of the groups of independent material claims (1-10) and (11-16) (with the independent claim 11 as the main invention are not considered to be patentably different or distinct. Therefore, no separate consideration or search will be made. Accordingly, no restriction among them is made on the record. Should applicant show or urge otherwise in the next response to this Office action in order for it to be considered timely, a restriction will be made for the record as shown or urged), drawn to a single invented material, classified in class 422, subclass 299.
 - II. Claims 17-31, drawn to a method, classified in class 422, subclass 3.

Inventions Groups (I and II) and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, the process of sterilizing a solid as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus such as boiling or microwave such solid which would be obtained about the same

Application/Control Number: 10/016,176

Art Unit: 1752

sterilizing result. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired the separate status and searches in the art and can be supported the separate patents as divided by applicants and have no evidence of the record that are not required the separate consideration and search since they are the obvious variants because the prior art being applied to one of them would be sufficient against all inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant should show or provide an evidence to the contrary. In the absence of convincing evidence, the restriction would not be removed.

- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an D. election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- However any process claim is permitted to be rejoined with a material claim E. provided (a) that the material claim is allowable and (b) the process claim must be contained all of the limitations of the allowable material claim in accordance with the authority stated in In re Ochiai, 37 USPQ2d 1127 or In re Brouwer, 37 USPQ2d 1663 and MPEP 821.04.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,176

Art Unit: 1752

F. Other issues have not been considered until a proper election is made and resolved.

G. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hoa V. Le whose telephone number is 703-308-2295. The

examiner can normally be reached on 6:30AM-5:00PM, M-TH.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Janet Baxter can be reached on 703-308-2303. The fax phone numbers of the

examiner is 703-746-7172. Since there is a newly electronic filing procedure for all initial

communicating papers and all responses to an Office action, the examiner fax phone number is

not for use to receive any fax in response to an Office action. Applicant is requested and required

to send all initial communicating papers and all response to Office action to a central paper or

fax receiving center for an electronic scanning procedure.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Hoa V. Le

Primary Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1752

HVL

30 September 2003

HOA VAN LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

Hoa Van Le